

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/772,586	02/06/2004	Shunpei Yamazaki	740756-2707 2329		
22204	7590 10/27/2006		EXAMINER		
NIXON PEABODY, LLP 401 9TH STREET, NW			NGUYEN, THANH T		
SUITE 900	XEE1, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128			2813		

DATE MAILED: 10/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/772,586	YAMAZAKI, SHUNPEI
Examiner	Art Unit
Thanh T. Nguyen	2813

	Thanh T. Nguyen		2813	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet	with the co	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			-	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amer tice of Appeal (with appe	ndment, affi eal fee) in c	davit, or other eviden ompliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	dvisory Action, or (2) the dater than SIX MONTHS from b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b)	m the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under tension and the correspond thortened statutory period f than three months after the	ling amount of for reply origin	of the fee. The appropri nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 4	1.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or searc w);	h (see NOT	E below);	
appeal; and/or (d) $\square$ They present additional claims without canceling a	•	-		ne issues ioi
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	NA 0	(N) 0		DTOL 20.4
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>		of Non-Con	npliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		separate, t	imely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 13-18</u> .	☐ will not be entered, o rided below or appended	or b) 🛛 will d.	be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>7-12 and 19-24</u> .  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date o I sufficient reasons why	of filing a No the affidavit	tice of Appeal will <u>no</u> or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections u vand was not earlier pre	nder appeal sented. Se	l and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the clai	ims after en	try is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but  See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the ap	oplication in	condition for allowan	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s	3).	Thanh T. Nguyen Examiner	

Art Unit: 2813

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant contends that the final is improper. In response to applicant that the final is proper because it was just a typographical error. However, examiner did cited the figures that related to the paragraphs. therefore, the final rejection is proper since no introduction of the new reference.

Applicant contends that Ogawa does not teach forming a resist mask at least on the wiring using a second solution ejector, using the rest mask as a mask. In response to applicant that Ogawa clear teach forming a resist mask as a mask on the wiring (metal) (see paragraph# 182) using a solution ejector to form a resist mask (see paragraph# 58, 109, 234).

Fix.29+